

Appl. No. 10/629,081
Amtd. dated July 11, 2005
Reply to Office action of April 12, 2005



REMARKS

The specification has been amended to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter.

Claim 8 has been cancelled.

Claims 9 and 2-4, 8 were rejected under 35 USC 112. The wording "the indication of the ridge member" was a typo and should have been "an inclination of the ridge member". Claim 9 has been amended accordingly.

Claims 9 and 2-4 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obvious-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,598,743. This rejection is overcome by the accompanying terminal disclaimer of that patent.

For these reasons it is submitted that this application is now in condition for allowance.

If there are any fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 34584US1.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By:


Thomas P. Schiller, Reg. No. 20677

1081 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108
(216) 579-1700

July 11, 2005